# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Dianne D. Ellis\* and Anthony L. Spek

Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail: d.d.ellis@chem.uu.nl

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$ H-atom completeness 99% Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.058 wR factor = 0.168 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# [4-(Diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthen-5-yl]diphenylphosphine oxide toluene hemisolvate

In the title compound,  $C_{39}H_{32}O_2P_2 \cdot 0.5C_7H_8$ , there are two distinct phosphorus centers. The P–C bond lengths around the diphenylphosphino group are around 0.04 Å larger than those in the phosphine oxide moiety. This corresponds to smaller C–P–C bond angles of 101.2 (15)° compared to 108.4 (9)° for the latter. The rigid dibenzopyran backbone is folded at an angle of 158.9 (1)°.

Received 13 December 2000 Accepted 15 December 2000 Online 22 December 2000

### Comment

The title structure, (I), is similar to the bis(diphenylphosphino) derivative, (II) (Hillebrand *et al.*, 1995; Kranenburg *et al.*, 1995), and the bis(methylphenylphosphine) oxide compound (Hamada *et al.*, 1997). The atoms in the xanthane backbone of (I) do not have the symmetry associated with (II), but are folded at a similar angle, 158.9 (1)° [*cf.* 156.6° in (II)], due to the steric congestion between the diphenylphosphine groups and the xanthane unit.



The geometry around each P atom is distinct due to the different oxidation states: the P–C bond distances [mean 1.802 (11) Å] around P1, formally  $P^{V}$ , are shorter than those at P2 [P<sup>III</sup>; mean 1.843 (6) Å]. The C–P1–C bond angles tend towards tetrahedral [average 108.4 (9)°] whilst the corresponding ones around P2 are more acute [average 101.2 (15)°].

The lone pair and oxide group on the P atoms in (I) have an in-out orientation, whereas in (II), the lone pairs point towards each other (in-in), so that only a small adjustment is required for metal chelation (Kranenburg *et al.*, 1995). This can be correlated with the distance between the P atoms which increases from 4.045 (1) Å in (II) to 4.693 (2) Å in (I).

The asymmetry of the ligand is also shown by the C–C–P angles at the substituted C atoms of the xanthane unit, namely C2 and C8. In (I), the angle deformation is again dependent on the nature of the phosphine; thus, those around C2 are 113.4 (2) and 129.2 (2)° (for P1), whilst around C8 are 120.9 (2) and 121.6 (2)° (for P2). In the (more) symmetric ligand (II), the C–C–P angles are 118.4 (2) and 125.1 (3)°, *i.e.* the reverse situation is present.

## **Experimental**

The title compound was obtained as a by-product in the attempted synthesis of (xantphos)nickel dicyanide. Colorless crystals were obtained by recrystallization from toluene of a solution containing styrene and cyclooctadiene.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.288 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 25

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 0.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 150 (2) K

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 11$ 

 $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 13$ 

Wedge, colorless

 $0.40 \times 0.28 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

3 standard reflections

frequency: 60 min

intensity decay: <1%

 $\theta = 9.9 - 13.9^{\circ}$ 

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{39}H_{32}O_2P_2\cdot 0.5C_7H_8\\ M_r = 640.15\\ Triclinic, \ P\overline{1}\\ a = 10.7700\ (11)\ \text{\AA}\\ b = 11.1547\ (11)\ \text{\AA}\\ c = 15.941\ (3)\ \text{\AA}\\ \alpha = 91.088\ (11)^\circ\\ \beta = 102.471\ (11)^\circ\\ \gamma = 116.993\ (7)^\circ\\ V = 1651.1\ (4)\ \text{\AA}^3 \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$  scans 6185 measured reflections 5855 independent reflections 4099 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.053$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.1^{\circ}$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0952P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$	+ 0.4293P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.168$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
5855 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
427 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

P1-O2	1.488 (2)	P2-C8	1.848 (3)
P1-C2	1.809 (3)	P2-C25	1.844 (3)
P1-C13	1.790 (3)	P2-C31	1.837 (3)
P1-C19	1.808 (3)		
O2-P1-C2	110.04 (14)	C31-P2-C8	100.80 (15)
O2-P1-C13	111.59 (14)	C31-P2-C25	102.83 (15)
O2-P1-C19	110.03 (14)	C1-C2-P1	129.2 (2)
C13-P1-C2	107.61 (14)	C3-C2-P1	113.4 (2)
C19-P1-C2	109.31 (15)	C7-C8-P2	120.9 (2)
C13-P1-C19	108.21 (15)	C9-C8-P2	121.6 (2)
C25-P2-C8	99.94 (14)		

Aromatic H atoms were constrained and allowed to ride on their C atoms with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ , and allowed to rotate freely about the C–C bonds. The toluene solvent was located near an inversion centre, so the methyl group was disordered over two sites, and refined with a site-occupancy factor of 50%. The H atom, at the same position as the disordered methyl group, was not refined.

Data collection: locally modified CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: SET4 (de Boer & Duisenberg, 1984); data



Figure 1

*PLATON/ORTEP* representation (Spek, 2000) of (I) with displacement ellipsoids at 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

reduction: *HELENA* (Spek, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2000).

The authors wish to thank Dr. M. Kranenburg, Professor Dr P. C. J. Kamer and Professor Dr P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, for the provision of crystals. The investigations were supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research (NWO).

## References

- Boer, J. L. de & Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40, C-410.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). *CAD*-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Hamada, Y., Matsuura, F., Oku, M., Hatano, K. & Shioiri, T. (1997). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **38**, 8961–8964.
- Hillebrand, S., Bruckmann, J., Kruger, C. & Haenel, M. W. (1995). Tetrahedron Lett. 36, 75–78.

Kranenburg, M., van der Burgt, Y. E. M., Kamer, P. C. J., van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M., Goubitz, K. & Fraanje, J. (1995). Organometallics, 14, 3081–3089.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (1997). HELENA. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.

Spek, A. L. (2000). PLATON. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.